

1                                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
2                                   **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3 GRACE ALBANESE,                                   )

4                                   )

5                                   Plaintiff,                                   )

6                                   vs.                                   )

7 LAS VEGAS POLICE DEPARTMENT, *et al.*,)

8                                   Defendants.                                   )

9                                   )

Case No.: 2:17-cv-0577-GMN-PAL

**ORDER**

10           Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States  
11 Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen, (ECF No. 68), which recommends that this case should be  
12 dismissed and that the Clerk of Court should close the case. (Report and Recommendation  
13 (“R.&R.”) 7:18–21).

14           A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a  
15 United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B);  
16 L.R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination  
17 of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in  
18 whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. §  
19 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required  
20 to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v.*  
21 *Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is  
22 not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections  
23 have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

24           Here, the Report and Recommendation was entered on June 30, 2017, and the objection  
25 deadline was July 14, 2017. (ECF No. 68). Although Plaintiff Grace Albanese (“Plaintiff”)

1 filed a multitude of letters to the Court before the deadline, the letters do not address the Report  
2 and Recommendation, and Plaintiff has failed to file any objection. *See* L.R. IB 3-2.

3 Accordingly,

4 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 68), is  
5 **ADOPTED** in full.

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, (ECF No.  
7 50), is **DISMISSED**.

8 The Clerk of Court is instructed to close the case and enter judgment accordingly.

9  
10 **DATED** this 13 day of November, 2017.

11  
12   
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge  
15 United States District Court  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25